ENERGY SAVING IN A DOMESTIC SPLIT-TYPE AIR CONDITIONER WITH EVAPORATIVE COOLING SYSTEMS

Chainarong Chaktranond*, and Peachrakha Doungsong

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Thammasat University
Khlong Luang, Pathum Thani 12121, Thailand
*Tel: 02-564-3001-9 ext. 3144, Fax: 02-564-3001-9 ext. 3151, *E-mail: cchainar@engr.tu.ac.th*

Abstract

This paper presents an experimental investigation of the use of various indirect evaporative cooling types to reduce energy consumption in a domestic split-type air conditioner. The condensing unit is retrofitted with a corrugated media pad type evaporative cooler, water sprayers, a water source and a pump. The power consumption and refrigeration capacity obtained from various cooling types are monitored and compared. The results show that the electrical consumption and coefficient of performance (COP_R) significantly depend on the ambient conditions. Due to effects of condensing pressure, when the ambient temperature rises, the electrical consumption becomes higher, while the COP_R becomes lower. Utilizing the indirect evaporative cooling system decreases the temperature of air entering the condensing unit, and then it makes the system performance enhance considerably. Among the investigated cases, the maximum energy saving occurs when the water spray works together with cellulose cooling pad. With the evaporative cooling systems, COP_R is improved by around 6-48%, and electrical consumption is approximately reduced by 4-15%.

Keywords: Indirect evaporative cooling, Split-type air conditioner, Energy saving.

1. INTRODUCTION

With impact of energy crisis and global warming, many researches have paid much attention on strategies for saving energy. In the tropical climate countries such Thailand, more than 50% of total electrical consumption in residential and commercial buildings comes from air conditioning systems. Due to simplicity and flexibility, the conventional split-type air conditioner is widely used in small and medium size buildings, e.g. residences, offices, and schools. Condensers used in this air conditioner are mainly air-cooled. In addition, their performances depend on the heat transfer between coils and the ambient airflow. Chow et al. (2002) reported that if the on-coil temperature of a condensing unit were raised by 1^{O}C, the coefficient of performance (COP_R) of the air conditioner would drop by around 3%. In addition, if this temperature remained above 45^{O}C for an extended period, the air conditioner would trip because of the excessive condenser working pressure. Effect of hot air recirculation on condensing temperature was studied by Avara and Daneshgar (2008). It was found from their numerical results that the distance between walls (*L*), where a condensing unit was installed, affected the on-coil temperature. If *L* was less than 1.5 m, the air flow should be parallel to the walls. In addition, if L = 1.5 m, optimal distance of condenser from the supporting wall (*D*) was 35 cm. Selecting a greater *D* led to more hot air circulation and consequently increased the on-coil temperature.

Hu and Huang (2005) improved the system performance of a water-cooled air conditioner by utilizing the cellulose pad, which was in cellulose bound cardboard structure. Instead of plastic packing in a cooling tower, this cellulose pad depressed the effect of surface tension on the plastic surface. This caused the contact area between air and water to be increased, resulting in enhancement of heat transfer. To improve the COP_R and save energy in refrigeration and air conditioning systems, Vrachopoulos et al. (2007) developed an incorporated evaporative condenser, which was installed with a cooling water sprinkle network in the front. In this method, water was directly sprayed into air-stream. The results showed that COP_R was improved up to 211% and energy saving was up to 58%. However, since the air filled with water droplets was directly induced to the condensing unit, corrosion problem possibly occurred on equipment. In order to reduce the condensing temperature in a window-type air conditioner, Hajidavallo (2007) installed two cooling pads in both sides of the air conditioner and injected water on them. With the cooling pads, the water droplets, which were exchanged the heat with hot-air flow, were trapped and dropped to the bottom. Hajidavallo (2007) reported that with evaporative cooling pad, the energy consumption decreased by about 16%, and the COP_R increased by about 55%.

In this study, the energy saving in a domestic split-type air conditioner is performed by retrofitting condensing unit with various types of indirect evaporative cooling system. Air-stream entering condensing unit is cooled down at two positions, i.e. in the front of and within cellulose corrugated pad. Moreover, injecting water into the air is divided into two types: water curtain and water spray. Comparison on system performances obtained from each case is reported in this study.

2. EXPERIMENTAL STUDY AND INSTRUMENTATION

From the name plate given by manufacturer, cooling capacity of the split-type air conditioner is 30,165.49 Btu/hr (8.84 kW), and condenser fan is 174 W (full load amp = 1.79 / 220 volts). The condensing coil is rectangular in shape and is placed outside the building. Distance between condensing unit and wall is 0.3 m. The ambient air is drawn into the condensing unit from two sides, and the hot air is exhausted in the horizontal direction.

The evaporative cooling pad unit of 0.15 m thick is placed in the front of condensing unit. As shown in Fig.1, the unit consists of cellulose pad, water pipe networks, which are located above and in front of cellulose pad (at position A, and B), a pan at the bottom, and a small water pump of 100 W to pump water with the maximum flow rate of 0.07 m^3/min. In the cases using water curtain, water pipe at position B is bored with 0.001 m in diameter and spacing between bores is 0.01 m. While in the cases using water spray, the pipe network is replaced with spray bars at positions B and C. Along the spray bars are bored and installed with sprayers, where spacing between them is 0.185 m. Spray heads are installed normal to the direction of air-stream entering the condensing unit. Distance between the cooling pad unit and condensing unit is 0.05 m.



Fig.1 Schematic diagram of evaporative cooling system.

A power meter (CHAUVIN ARNOUX SERIES C.A.8310) is used to monitor the total power consumption including compressor, evaporator fan and condenser fan. TESTO 400 is used for measuring temperature and humidity of outdoor conditions (position 1, 2, and 3 shown in Fig.1) and indoor conditions (upstream and downstream of the evaporative coil). Average flow rate of air-stream entering the condensing unit is 1,171.74 cfm (0.9 m/s). Indoor air condition is controlled with thermostat at 25^{O}C, and airflow rate exiting from evaporator is 186.22 cfm (0.93 m/s). Testing conditions are divided into 6 cases shown in Table 1.

Table 1 Testing conditions

Case	Condition
1	Without cooling system
2	With cellulose cooling pad
3	With water curtain
4	With water curtain and cellulose cooling
5	With water spray
6	With water spray and cellulose cooling

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

To compare the system performance improved, the air conditioning system is first monitored without the evaporative cooling pad unit at condensing unit. In all experiments, air properties and total electric power consumption are measured and recorded for 24 hours.

3.1 Total power consumption

The results from Fig. 2 show that in the afternoon, the power consumption is higher than the other periods. Particularly, the maximum power consumption occurs in the period of 13.00 - 17.00. This shows that outdoor temperature has much affected the power consumption of air conditioner. In fact, when temperature of air conditioning room reaches a set point, then electrical machines in condensing unit will stop running. Therefore, discontinuity shown in the Fig. 2 is the effect of the cycling machines (starting and stopping the fan motor and compressor).

By comparing with case 1, it seems that the frequency of the cycling machines in the air conditioner retrofitted with evaporative cooling system is more often. This indicates that decreasing temperature of air entering condensing unit also influences the refrigeration capacity in evaporator. The average power saving values in case 2, case 3, case 4, case 5, and case 6 approximately are 3.8, 7.8, 9.7, 10, and 15%, respectively.



Fig. 2 Total power consumption of air conditioner in various cases.

3.2 System performance

In this study, coefficient of performance in refrigeration (COP_R) is defined as the ratio of refrigeration capacity to total power consumption measured by power meter. In addition, refrigeration capacity is computed in terms of energy transfer from air to refrigerant, and it can be written as

$$Refrigeration\,capacity = \dot{m}_a \left(h_{ra} - h_{sa} \right) \qquad (1),$$

where $\dot{m}_a$ is mass flow rate of air exiting from the supply air grille, h_{ra} and h_{sa} are enthalpy of supply and return air, respectively.

The average system performances are shown in Fig. 3 in which ambient air conditions are presented by temperature (^{O}C) and relative humidity (% RH). The results show that temperature affects the system performances more than relative humidity. When ambient air temperature becomes lower, the system obtains higher COP_R. Due to outdoor air effect, COP_R during night time is higher than that during day time. As the day progressed, the ambient temperature increases which results in lower performance due to increased condenser pressure head. In other words, higher condenser pressure results in an increase of power consumption by the compressor. On the other hand, the performance of the system increases when the ambient air temperature drops. By using curve fitting, it is found that electrical power consumption increases by around 4% when temperature is raised by 1^{O}C.

With indirect evaporative cooling system, ambient air transfers heat to water. This makes temperature of air entering condensing unit lower, then heat transfer between refrigerant flowing through condensing unit and the entering air more increases. Consequently, COP_R of the system is improved.



Fig. 3 System performance in various cases.

3.3 Comparison on power consumption

The outdoor and indoor air conditions are shown in Table 2. With indirect evaporative cooling systems, temperature of air entering condenser can approximately reduce by 3^{O}C in maximum, and relative humidity is 85% in maximum. Moreover, the indirect evaporative cooling influences power consumption and refrigerating effect (Δh in room) of air conditioning system, resulting in improvement of COP_R.

Table 2. Outdoor and indoor air conditions

Case	Condition	Ambient condition		After passing cooling device		After passing condensing unit		kW	Δh in room	COP_R
		T_1 [C]	RH_1 [%]	T_2 [C]	RH_2 [%]	T_3 [C]	RH_3 [%]			
1	Without water cooling system	31.06	63.29	31.06	63.29	38.64	48.66	1.63	20.26	1.35
2	With cooling pad	29.79	74.63	27.59	84.85	34.16	58.80	1.56	20.73	1.43
3	With water curtain	30.48	68.47	30.02	71.44	34.99	58.32	1.50	23.51	1.69
4	With water curtain and cooling pad	30.66	67.36	26.97	81.85	34.18	59.50	1.47	24.12	1.77
5	With water spray	30.33	72.73	29.31	81.28	35.28	59.05	1.46	24.12	1.76
6	With water spray and cooling pad	30.88	69.79	27.01	85.38	34.84	58.37	1.38	25.15	2.01

Figure 4 shows the comparison on average power consumption per ton of refrigeration among various cases. Air conditioner with water spray and cooling pad (case 6) provides the minimum value of kW/ton. This is because air-stream entering the condensing unit has more contact with cooling water. Hence, heat can much transfers from refrigerant to air-stream, and then condenser pressure head is lower. By comparing the case without the cooling water system (case 1), it is found that the electrical power saving values in case 2 to 6 are around 4, 7.7, 9.6, 10.1, and 15.3%, and system performances are improved around 6.4, 25.6, 31.7, 31.1, and 49.5 %, respectively. Even though the evaporative cooling system reduces the power consumption, influence of corrosion due to high humidity on equipment should be considered.



Fig. 4 Comparison on power consumption among various cases.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Improvement of system performance in a domestic split-type air conditioner with various types of indirect evaporative cooling systems is investigated in this study. Based on the experimental results, it reveals that ambient temperature has much influenced the power consumption of compressor and COP_R. When temperature is raised by $1^{O}C$, electrical power consumption increases by around 4%. With evaporative cooling, the air entering condensing unit is cooled to a lower temperature. This causes the power consumption by compressor to decrease, and the refrigeration capacity to increase, resulting in enhancement of COP_R. Due to high contact surface between water and air-stream, indirect evaporative cooling system using spray water and cellulose cooling pad together can decrease the power consumption around by 15%, and can increase COP_R up to 45%.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Department of Mechanical Engineering, Thammasat University for the financial support and measurement devices.

REFERENCES

Avara, A., and Daneshgar, E. Optimum placement of condensing units of split-type air conditioners by numerical simulation, Energy and Buildings 2008; 40: 1268-1272.

Chow, T.T., Lin, Z., and Yang, X.Y., Placement of condensing units of split-type air-conditioners at low-rise residences, Applied Thermal Engineering 2002; 22: 143-1444.

Hajidavallo, E. Application of evaporative cooling on the condenser of window-air-conditioner, Applied Thermal Engineering 2007; 27: 1937-1943.

Hu, S.S., and Huang, B.J. Study of a high efficiency residential split water-cooled air conditioner, Applied Thermal Engineering 2005; 25: 1599-1613.

Vrachopoulos, M.G., Filios, A.E., Kotsiovelos, G.T., and Kravaritis, E.D. Incroporated evaporative condenser, Applied Thermal Engineering 2005; 27: 823-828.